UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/675,611	09/29/2003	William J. Boyle	ACS 63641 (3386X)	ACS 63641 (3386X) 6361	
24201 FULWIDER PA	7590 06/07/2007 ATTON LLP	EXAMINER			
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6060 CENTER DRIVE, TENTH FLOOR			PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D		
LOS ANGELE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738		
				•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Occurrence	10/675,611	BOYLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Christopher D. Prone	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ag	oril 2007					
· _ · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
<u>/=</u>	'-					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.	•	•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,6 and 11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-10 and 12-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
· A ·						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/9/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8-10 and 12-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by (USPN 6,228,062) Howell et al.

Howell discloses the same invention as claimed being a torque device comprising a guidewire (24), a split seam sheath (36), handle (32) with a lumen (60) extending there through, a side-port (46) to remove the sheath from the guidewire, an extension arm (10) having an a tubular extension (shown near the reference character 58 in figure 5) and an opening for receiving both the guidewire and sheath.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 7, and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over (FPD FR 2580504) Pieronne in view of (USPN 6,228,062) Howell et al and further in view of (USPN 6,616,680) Thielen.

Pieronne discloses the invention substantially as claimed being an embolic filtering system comprising an expandable filter frame (1), a filter element (11), and a split seam sheath (16). However, Pieronne does not disclose all the structural elements of the torque device or that the filter is self-expanding.

Howell teaches the use of a locking torque device comprising a guidewire (24), a split seam sheath (36), handle (32) with a lumen (60) extending there through, a funnel shaped side-port (46 shown in figure 5) to remove the sheath from the guidewire, a locking mechanism (comprising elements 50 and 52), an extension arm (10) having an a tubular extension (shown near the reference character 58 in figure 5) and an opening for receiving both the guidewire and sheath, and a member (34) for preventing kinking of the guidewire in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of providing the user with enhanced ability to lock and maneuver the sheath and guidewire.

Thielen teaches the use of a filter comprising nitinol for the purpose of providing a temperature sensitive self-expanding frame.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the end seal 19 of Pieronne with the torque member disclosed by Howell and to incorporate the self-expanding nitinol frame material in order to provide the operator better control the separation sheath during its separation from the guidewire and a frame with enhanced expansion ability.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/20/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues, that the guidewire of Howell as identified by the examiner, does not function as a guidewire. The examiner maintains his opinion that element 24 of Howell performs the functions of a guidewire by guiding the device to a desired operating site. Furthermore there is no structural limitation that would not allow element 24 of Howell from functioning as a guidewire in the examples given by the applicant.

The applicant further argues that the Howell device is not designed to split from proximal retraction of the sheath. However the examiner is adding the torque device of Howell to Pieronne at its proximal end (shown in figure 3 of Pieronne) to better control and guides the separation of the sheath. Pieronne is already splitting its sheath through proximal retraction. The combination simply gives the user better control of both the sheath and the guidewire. Therefore the device of Howell splits its sheath through proximal retraction.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-6085. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Fri 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher D Prone Examiner Art Unit 3738

M CDP

> CORRINE McDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700